

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,431	03/28/2002	Kakuji Tojo	13357.4USWO	13357.4USWO 6928	
23552	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 29	IT & GOULD PC		YOUNG, MI	YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/089,431	TOJO ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Micah-Paul Young	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which the contract which are the contract	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	İ		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ns.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:			ļ		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del> -			
		Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit: 1615			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/089,431

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art discloses a composition comprising a combination of the two penetration enhancers of the instant claims. It is the position of the examiner that it would be well within the level of skill in the art to optimize these concentrations and arrive at the instant claims. Their combination and subsequent optimization for maximum results would be the result of routine experimentation and does not impart patentability on the claims.

THURMAN AC PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600